



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-W-34-2025 IN/AND
CRWP-268-2025

Date of Decision:13.01.2025

Virta Rani and Anr.

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Ms. Amarjeet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

CRM-W-34-2025

This is an application, under Rule 3(A)(i) of Chapter6, Part-B, Volume-V, of the High Court Rules and Orders, seeking leave to appeal, act or plead before this Court.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed as prayed for.

Main case

On oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the name of the respondent No.2 be read as "Senior Superintendent of Police, District Ferozepur" instead of "Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural, District Amritsar".

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to carry out necessary correction/amendment in the MOP in the Court today itself.

The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and

also directing the respondents No.4 to 9 not to interfere in their lives and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 10.01.2025 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur and she shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation dated 10.01.2025 (Annexure P-5)

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. I.P.S Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2–The Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, is directed to depute a senior officer to look into the representation (Annexure P-5) and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

This order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the marriage of the parties. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

13.01.2025
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No