



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 159 of 2025

Date of Decision: 14.01.2025

Pankaj Kumar Sachdeva

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Sandeep Kaur

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Gursimran Singh Bhatia, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The petitioner herein is a tenant. Before the Rent Controller, the respondent-landlady's petition seeking the petitioner-tenant's eviction is pending.

2. During the pendency of the eviction petition, the petitioner-tenant has filed an application for permission to get the site plan prepared of the entire building from the Draftsman which was rejected by the Rent Controller. It has been observed by the Rent Controller that the landlady is the owner of only two shops which are forming part of the property No. 26, situated in IDB Market, Amritsar. However, when the case was fixed for his evidence, the tenant filed an application for permission to get the site plan prepared of the entire building. The Rent Controller found that such site plan would not be relevant for the decision of the case because the landlady is the owner only of two shops. Thus, the Rent Controller allowed the petitioner to

landlady vide transfer deed dated 22.02.2018, from the Draftsman.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground is made out to interfere with the impugned order. Hence, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

January 14, 2025
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No