



331 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1359-2024
Date of decision:28.01.2025

SIMRANJEET SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Tajinder Pal Singh Makkar, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

Mr. Ajaib Singh, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Karanvir Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Aarish Kamboj, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.0110 dated 16.07.2022 registered under Sections 379-B, 34 of IPC (Section 411 of IPC added later on) at Police Station PAU Ludhiana, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana on the basis of compromise.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.3-Pawan Kumar against the petitioners.
3. On notice of motion, respondents No.2 & 3 appeared in the



Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Additional District and Sessions Judge, Ludhiana along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. The incident in question had taken place in the petrol pump situated in South City Bridge, Ludhiana. Respondent No.2 was the owner of the said petrol pump while the victim was respondent No.3-Pawan Kumar who was working as an employee in the said petrol pump. From the perusal of the death certificate, it appears that respondent No.2 has died.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondents No.2 & 3 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.



9. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

10. For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0110 dated 16.07.2022 registered under Sections 379-B, 34 of IPC (Section 411 of IPC added later on) at Police Station PAU Ludhiana, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

28.01.2025
Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No