



103 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCp-910-2015 (O&M)
Decided on:-28.01.2025

Atma Ram and others

....Petitioners..

vs.

Dr. Rakesh Sharma Director, Ayurveda, Punjab
and another

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Vijay K. Jindal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents relies upon a speaking order dated 27.01.2025, wherein, the case of the petitioners has been considered in terms of Rule 8 of the Punjab Ayurvedic Department (Class III-Technical) Service Rules, 1963 and they have not been found entitled for promotion to the posts of Vaidya from Upvaid.

2. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not want to press the present petition, however, seeks liberty to avail remedies against the speaking order, in accordance with law.

3. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as not pressed with liberty as prayed for. Rule stands discharged.

28.01.2025

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/ No