



311

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-3325-2002 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.09.2025

Radhey Shyam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Suneel Ranga, DAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that petitioner as well as his wife have passed away.
2. The matter relates to promotion. The petitioner is claiming ante-dated seniority and promotion. He had superannuated on 31.03.2002. Pure disputed questions of fact are involved.
3. Considering the fact that petitioner as well as his wife have passed away and matter is confined to seniority, this Court does not find it appropriate to return the findings on merit.
4. Accordingly, the instant petition is hereby dismissed.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

04.09.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No