



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM Nos. 23124-CII to 23126-CII of 2024 in/and
Civil Revision No. 1642 of 2009 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.01.2025

Sadhu Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

Parminder Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Nitish Kumar Vasudeva, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner/tenant.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

CM-23124-CII-2024 and CM-23125-CII-2024

Prayer in the present two applications, moved on behalf of the applicants-petitioner(s) bearing (i) CM-23125-CII-2024 for placing on record some documents as Annexures A-1 to A-7; and (ii) CM-23124-CII-2024 for exemption from filing the certified copies thereof.

Applications are **allowed**, as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions. The aforesaid documents are taken on record as Annexures A-1 to A-7 and exemption from filing the certified copies thereof is granted.

CM-23126-CII-2024

Prayer in the present application under Order XXII, Rule 3 read with Section 151 of CPC, moved on behalf of the applicant-petitioner/tenant is for impleading the legal representatives of petitioner-Sadhu Ram, who died on 26.12.2019, a copy of the death certificate is

enclosed as Annexure A-1.

It is averred in the application that legal representatives of the applicant-petitioner have entered into a compromise with the non-applicant/respondent-landlord, which is reduced in the writing on 25.09.2023 (Annexure A-2).

Notice of the application.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Abhinav Goel, Advocate for Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicant/respondent and raises no objection against the prayer made in the application.

In view of the above, present application is **allowed**, as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions. The persons mentioned in para-5 of the application are ordered to be brought on record as legal representatives of the petitioner to pursue the present petition. Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

Registry to do the needful.

At this stage, learned counsel for the applicants-petitioners seeks withdrawal of the main revision petition, while contending that a settlement / compromise dated 25.09.2023 (Annexure A-2) has been arrived at between the parties, as per which, they (petitioners) have no objection in case the *mesne profits* deposited with the Registry of this Court, is released in favour of respondent.

The main case stood admitted vide order dated 25.03.2009 passed by this Court and stands listed on Regular Board at Sr. No. 437.

In response, learned counsel for the non-applicant/respondent admits the factum of aforesaid compromise and raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the applicants-petitioners.

With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the main case is taken up today itself

MAIN CASE

In view of the aforesaid development, viz. a compromise / settlement dated 25.09.2023 (Annexure A-2) having been arrived at between the parties, the main case is ordered to be **dismissed as withdrawn**.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

January 14, 2025
'dk kamra '

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No