



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

276

CWP-1265-2021 (O&M)

Decided on :22.04.2025

BHUPESH KUMAR AND ORS

. .petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Bikramjit Singh Patwalia, Advocate and
Mr. Gaurav Jagota, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Malkiat Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Deepanshu Mehta, Advocate for respondent no. 3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the parties are ad-idem to the facts that the issue raised in the present petition has already been answered by this Court while passing order in CWP-26714-2019, titled as Hira Devi and others versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 19.07.2024 alongwith other connected cases, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of in the same terms and conditions as held in *Hira Devi 's case (supra)*.

2. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there is a possibility that while considering the claim of the petitioners for regularization, the respondents can take some objections like that the petitioners are not the employees of the respondents-department, therefore, respondents be directed to consider case of the petitioners for regularization by keeping in view the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 23027 of 2016 titled as Mrs. Gurmeet Kaur and others versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 24.09.2024 as well.

3. Upon this, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the



claim regarding the regularization of the services of the petitioners as being sought in the present petition will be considered and decided by the authorities concerned by keeping in mind the judgments passed in *Hira Devi's case (supra)* & *Mrs. Gurmeet Kaur's case (supra)* within a period of **eight weeks** from the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case the claim of the petitioner is found feasible to be accepted, an appropriate order will be passed and in case the same is not found feasible to be accepted, detailed reasons for rejecting the claim for regularization of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order and the same will also be conveyed to the petitioner as well.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

22.04.2025

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No