



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-192-2025

Date of decision :10.01.2025

Joginder Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to official respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners which is at eminent danger at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6 and other relatives, by restraining them from interfering in their personal, peaceful life and liberty. Further prayer has been made for directing respondents No.2 & 3 not to interfere in the peaceful lives of the petitioners by harassing in any manner at the instance of any complaint or FIR filed by private respondents or their agents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexures P-3 & P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 08.01.2025 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2.



4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal to decide the representation dated 08.01.2025 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.
6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

10.01.2025

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No