



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

230

**CWP-1109-2020
Date of decision: 22.04.2025**

RAMPHAL

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Ms. Tanya Vashist, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Nain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate
for respondent No.4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the order dated 19.12.2017 issued by the Executive Engineer Horticulture Division, Panchkula vide which the petitioner has been shown junior to the respondent No.4 and also to the order of promotion dated 30.08.2019 issued by the respondents vide which the respondent No.4 had been promoted to the post of Junior Engineer.

2. Counsel for the respondents contend that the order dated 19.12.2017 (Annexure P-6) is in the reference of preparation of revised seniority list of feeder Cadre for the post of Junior Engineers. They contend



CWP-1109-2020

-2-

that the petitioner had sought time to file the seniority list that existed before 19.12.2017 as noticed by this Court in the order of 16.01.2020. It is submitted that the needful was not done and subsequently when the matter was taken up on 10.07.2024, the petitioner again sought time to comply with the order of 16.01.2020 but the needful has not been done so far.

3. Learned State Counsel also apprises that the seniority of the respondent No. 4 in the feeder Cadre itself had been placed above the petitioner and that the earlier order of promotion had not been challenged by the petitioner at any stage and that the subsequent order of promotion on the basis of his unchallenged seniority is now being raised before this Court.

4. Faced with the above, Counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present writ petition so as to file an appropriate petition with better particulars, if so advised.

5. Disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

6. Needless to mention that in the event of the petitioner exercising an option to prefer any subsequent petition, the period spent in pursuing the present writ petition shall be taken into consideration.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

APRIL 22, 2025

Vishal Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No