



CR-1925-1998 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(109)

CR-1925-1998 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 31.07.2025

Vijay Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Milkhi Ram (deceased) through his legal representatives

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate, and
Ms. Pallavi Bahre, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Anmol Rattan S. Dhillon, Advocate, and
Mr. Vishal Pundir, Advocate,
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Challenge in the present revision petition is to the judgment dated 18.08.1997 vide which the Appellate Authority while reversing the order dated 10.11.1995 had ordered the eviction of the present petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time and seeing that this Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter, on instructions from his client, has submitted that the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition but has sought time to vacate the premises and to shift to another premises. It has been stated that the petitioner is a tenant in the premises for the last more than 40 years.
3. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present revision

**CR-1925-1998 (O&M)****-2-**

petition with the following observations/directions:-

- (i) The petitioner would be permitted to occupy the premises till 31.03.2026, subject to the conditions mentioned hereinafter and would vacate the premises and hand over the keys of the premises to the respondent on or before 31.03.2026.
- (ii) The petitioner would pay an amount of Rs.2,000/- per month from the month of August, 2025 up to the period the petitioner stays in occupation, on or before 15th of every month.
- (iii) The petitioner would pay the arrears of rent, if any, within a period of two months from today.
- (iv) The petitioner would file an undertaking on the said three aspects before the Executing Court within a period of three weeks from today with an advance copy to counsel for the landlord in the Executing Court.

4. It is made clear that in case the petitioner does not submit the said undertaking or does not comply with any of the abovesaid conditions then it would be open to the landlord to seek immediate possession of the premises in question from the petitioner by applying for police help in addition to taking recourse to other proceedings including the Contempt of Courts Act.

5. In view of what has been observed above, the present revision petition is disposed of.

July 31, 2025
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No