



CRM-M-1182-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

219

CRM-M-1182-2025

Date of Decision: 09.07.2025

SONU KUMAR

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Dheeraj Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed for grant of regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS in case FIR No. 227 dated 12.07.2024 under Section 25 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Dera Bassi, District SAS Nagar.
2. It is alleged that the petitioner has been in possession of illegal weapons. One 32 bore country made pistol was recovered from him. During the interrogation, the petitioner made a disclosure statement, and based on his statement, four additional pistols, one double-barrel gun and two cartridges were subsequently recovered.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and the FIR has been registered on the basis of secret information received by the police which is false and frivolous. He further submits that the said weapons were planted upon the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 17.07.2024.

**CRM-M-1182-2025****-2-**

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab. accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for 11 months and 21 days. He vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He fairly admits that the petitioner is in custody since 17.07.2024 and he is not involved in any other case. He further submits that the challan has been presented before the Court concerned.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for 11 months and 21 days, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. The petitioner is directed to appear before the local police station at least once in a month and get his presence marked.

9. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.



CRM-M-1182-2025

-3-

10. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

09.07.2025
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No