



205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-813-2025

Date of decision: 04.07.2025

RAMESH YADAV

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan Singh Rai, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the complainant.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.268 dated 04.12.2024, under Sections 69 and 351(2) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Kotwali Patiala, District Patiala, Punjab.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the allegations levelled against the petitioner by the complainant were that on the false pretext of marriage, he had developed physical relations with her. He further submitted that the complainant is major and is having fair and mature understanding and therefore, no offence is made out as it was a consensual relationship. He further



submitted that even otherwise also, in pursuance of the order passed by this Court on 13.01.2025, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and he has fully cooperated with the investigation process and the mobiles which he had been using for the last 5 years have already been deposited by him to the Investigating Officer in pursuance of the order passed by this Court on 29.04.2025 and therefore, the order dated 13.01.2025 vide which interim anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner may be made absolute.

3. On the other hand, Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan Singh Rai, Senior DAG, Punjab submitted on instructions from ASI Bikramjit Singh that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court on 13.01.2025 as well as on 29.04.2025, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and he has fully cooperated with the investigation process and has also deposited his mobiles which he had been using for the last 5 years and is not required for custodial interrogation.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submitted that the allegations against the petitioner were serious in nature.

5. I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

6. As per the order dated 13.01.2025, the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail by this Court and thereafter, on 29.04.2025, the petitioner was to deposit his mobiles which he had been using for the last 5 years to the Investigating Officer and as per the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel, the petitioner has already deposited his mobile phones to the Investigating Officer. A stand has been taken by the learned State counsel that the petitioner has already joined the investigation and he has fully cooperated with the investigation process and has also deposited his



mobile phones to the Investigating Officer and is not required for custodial interrogation.

7. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 13.01.2025, is hereby made absolute.

04.07.2025
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No