



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-197-2025

Date of decision : 10.01.2025

Khalila and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7 and other annoyed relatives of respondent No.4 as they are harassing, interfering in the life of Petitioners as the petitioner No.1 is not living with respondent No.4 (her husband).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No.1 is already married with respondent No.4 and petitioner No.2 is also married and having three children. He submits that they are governed by Mohammedan Law and now both the petitioners are living in live-in-relationship and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from the private respondents. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 07.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Mewat (Nuh).
3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.

**CRWP-197-2025****-2-**

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Mewat (Nuh), to decide the representation dated 07.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

6. Disposed of.

(**RAJESH BHARDWAJ**)
JUDGE

10.01.2025*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No