

2025:PHHC:084989



219.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1717-2025

Date of decision: 14.07.2025

Manpreet Kaur

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh-1, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate, for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail, under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, in case FIR No.68, dated 08.09.2024, under Sections 103, 140, 61, 190, 191, 191(3) of BNS, registered at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda.

2. On 15.01.2025, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had directed that no coercive steps be taken against the petitioner:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that it is not even the case of the complainant that he had witnessed the presence of the petitioner, who is mother of co-accused Sardara Singh, when the deceased was physically assaulted by a number of identified as well as some unidentified individuals. Learned counsel submits that even though it is alleged to be an eye witness account, however, as per the allegations in the FIR itself, it was on the following day that the complainant learnt that the deceased had been also

beaten up by the wife of Sardara Singh as well as the petitioner.”

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 17.03.2025, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked her to join investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 17.03.2025, petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for her custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 17.03.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 14, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No