



CWP-2762-2022

-1-

217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CWP-2762-2022**

**Date of Decision: 22.07.2025**

\*\*\*\*

Capt. Amarinder Singh Through Authorized Signatory

..... Petitioner

Versus

Estate Officer, Excise Area and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present: Ms. Madhu Tewatia, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Piyush Bansal, Advocate,  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Chirag Wadhwa, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of *mandamus* for directing the respondents to perform their statutory duty and to grant NOC with respect to the sale of property bearing No.123-B, Staff Road, Ambala Cantt., Ambala, Haryana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that it is a case where the petitioner is the owner of the aforesaid property and his suit for declaration was decreed in his favour which had attained finality before this Court in RSA No.3375 of 2013. She further submitted that the property is situated in Excise Area at Ambala Cantt. and therefore, NOC is required for further selling of the property and a representation/application dated 03.08.2021 (Annexure P-4) has been filed before the concerned Estate Officer, Excise Area, Ambala Cantt. regarding the same, but it has not been

**CWP-2762-2022****-2-**

considered and decided till date.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that at this stage her prayer is limited to the extent that a direction be issued to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the aforesaid application/representation (Annexure P-4) within a time framework in accordance with law.

4. Mr. Piyush Bansal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1-Estate Officer, Excise Area has submitted that he has sought specific instructions from Dr. Vinesh Kumar, SDM-cum-Estate Officer, Excise Area, Ambala Cantt. to state that in view of the limited prayer so made by learned counsel for the petitioner seeking direction to consider and decide the representation/application (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law, the same will be considered and decided strictly in accordance with law within a period of two months from today.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and as per statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent No.1, the present petition is disposed of. Respondent No.1 is directed to consider and decide the representation/application filed by the petitioner vide Annexure P-4 and thereafter, to pass a speaking order strictly in accordance with law within a period of two months from today.

6. Needless to say that after the passing of the order, a copy of the same shall be supplied to the petitioner expeditiously.

**22.07.2025***Bhumika***(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)  
JUDGE**

- |                               |        |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable:        | Yes/No |