

2025:PHHC:050337



209.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-990-2025

Date of decision: 21.04.2025

Gurmeet Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Aneja, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwar Bir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail, under Section 438 of Cr.P.C./482 of BNSS, 2023, in FIR No.0020, dated 03.05.2024, under Sections 326, 324, 323, 341, 148, 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Lakhewali, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 13.01.2025, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that as per allegations in the FIR, the petitioner was alleged to be armed with a *kappa*. However, no specific role much less injury has been attributed to the petitioner in the alleged occurrence.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 13.01.2025, petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 13.01.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

April 21, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No