



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

253

CRM-M-651-2025

Date of decision: 15.01.2025

SEHAJPREET SINGH ALIAS SURAJ

....Petitioner

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in case FIR No.156 dated 23.09.2022 under Sections 307, 326, 323, 324, 148, 149 and 506 of the IPC registered at Police Station P.A.U, District Ludhiana.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a perusal of the allegations levelled in the FIR in question annexed as Annexure P-1 reveals that although the petitioner is named therein however, no specific injury or role had been attributed to him. Furthermore, it has been contended that subsequent to the occurrence in question the parties had ironed out their differences since it was on account of some misguided suspicion that the FIR in question had been lodged, hence, further incarceration of the petitioner in the given circumstances would serve no useful purpose moreso when the investigation was complete and challan also presented.



CRM-M-651-2025

-2-

3. Per contra, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions made by the counsel opposite, has on instructions, feigned ignorance about the purported settlement arrived at between the parties. However, it has not been disputed that investigation in the present case is complete and challan stands presented.

4. At this stage, Mr.Saurav Kanojia, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of the complainant and has not disputed the submissions made by the counsel opposite and has further submitted that he would not oppose the prayer for extending the concession of bail to the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the relevant material placed on record.

6. In the facts and circumstances as enumerated hereinabove, this Court deems it fit to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Needless to add, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the same.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

January 15, 2025
poonam

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No