



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-562-2025 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 21.07.2025**

SATISH KUMAR

.....PETITIONER(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Dr. Pankaj Nanhera, Advocate and
Mr. Yogesh Vashisth, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.(Oral)

The instant petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Surkasha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS-2023) for granting the
concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 302 dated
21.07.2024 under Sections 406 and 420 of India Penal Code registered
at Police Station Meham, District Rohtak, Haryana, in the interest of
justice.

A perusal of the file shows that on the judicial order dated
10.01.2025, the petitioner was granted concession of interim
anticipatory bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the
satisfaction of the investigating/Arresting officer, as and when further

called by the investigating officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation and shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023. Thereafter the matter was taken up on 05.02.2025 and on the instruction learned State Counsel submitted that though the petitioner has joined investigation however, recovery of Rs. 25 lakhs had not been effected. It is also the case of the State that voices sample of the petitioner was to be obtained for matching with one audio recording wherein the petitioner is audible, taking to the complainant with respect to money transaction and promised to provide government job for complaint's grandson.

In light of the above, the petitioner was once again directed to join the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency and cooperate with the investigating agency and the matter was adjourned to 24.04.2025.

On 24.04.2025, the instructions of the learned State Counsel were incomplete and the matter was adjourned to today and interim order was ordered to be continued till the next date of hearing.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has joined the investigation in compliance to the order dated 10.01.2025 and has consented to give the sample of voice for comparison/examination with one audio alleged to have been came into possession of the investigating officer.

The Court is of the view that once the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail on 10.01.2025 and in pursuance thereto has admittedly joined the investigation, then keeping the petition pending for one or the other reason would be of no use.

In each and every case, this Court cannot monitor and examine the investigation, direction on day to day basis especially when the interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner and he has joined the investigation.

In light of the above, this Court do not deem it necessary to have any further indulgence in the matter, hence, the petition is allowed and order dated 10.01.2025 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

21.07.2025
anuradha

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*