



107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-176-2025

Date of decision: 15.01.2025

Inderjit Pal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. G.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction to respondent No.3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of unknown miscreants.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner is doing his business in U.K. under the name and style of Indy House Renovation Ltd. Company and under this Company, 18 other companies are working and the petitioner is having three offices in U.K. and his entire family is settled in U.K. He further submits that when the petitioner came to India, he received a phone call from respondent No.4 on 24.12.2024 from Goraya Police Station, who asked him to come present in Police Station Goraya and when the petitioner enquired, the said office not only used foul language rather started threatening the petitioner to come present in Police Station. When the petitioner visited the police station the said officer misbehaved with him and also detained him in lock-up illegally for around 6 to 8 hours. Further, from 24.12.2024 to 26.12.2024, the officer continuously called the petitioner to come present in the Police Station Goraya and the petitioner in order to show his *bona fide*, visited the Police Station Goraya every time. Thereafter, the

**CRWP-176-2025****-2-**

petitioner came to know that his ex-employee in London, namely, Shanaya Grover, who started committing cheating with the petitioner, against whom, the petitioner also filed a complaint of cheating with London Police, came to India and filed false and frivolous complaint against the petitioner. Thereafter, the petitioner, through his counsel, has made a representation dated 27.12.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.3 but no action has been taken so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation dated 27.12.2024 (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioner in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl.A.G., Punjab, puts in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents-State and copy of the petition has been supplied in the Court.

Keeping in view the prayer made by the petitioner and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation dated 27.12.2024 (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioner and take appropriate steps including grant of any protection to the petitioner in view of the apprehension, if any, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

15.01.2025*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No