

113+235/1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M- 2408-2025(O&M)  
Date of decision:-28.04.2025

RAVI

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY.

Present:- Mr. Chander Pal Tiwana, Advocate and  
Mr. Rajesh Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

CRM-16534-2025

1. The instant application has been preferred by the petitioner under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for placing on record medical record of the petitioner along with copies of orders dated 31.01.2025 and 14.02.2025 as Annexures P-4 to P-6.

2. Application is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Annexure P-4 to P-6 are taken on record. Registry to tag the same at an appropriate place.

Main case

1. The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioner under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in the following case (Annexure P-1):-

| FIR No. | Dated      | Sections                                  | Police Station                 |
|---------|------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 289     | 29.07.2024 | 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340 and 61(2) of BNS | Sadar Gohana, District Sonipat |



2. Arguments heard.

3. It is *inter alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He contends that petitioner was not named in the FIR but was nominated on the disclosure statement of co-accused Sahil, who has since been granted concession of bail vide order dated 12.12.2024 passed in CRM-M-57058-2024 by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court. He contends that petitioner was arrested on 20.08.2024, after completion of investigation challan has already been presented in Court wherein prosecution has cited 19 witnesses and till date only 1 witness has been examined so far. He contends that the petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents and the conclusion of trial will take sufficient long time, hence prayed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel referring to the reply submitted by the State has assailed these arguments and prayed for dismissal of the bail petition considering the serious nature and gravity of the offence.

5. After considering the rival contentions and perusing the record, it transpires that a secret information was received by the police that Amit alias Murkha and some of his companions who prepare fake documents and purchase vehicles, tractor and bikes and sell them outside, is coming in black colour Scorpio car with temporary number plate from Kakana Bhadari road. After some time alleged vehicle was seen coming and on seeing the police party he tried to turn the vehicle but police managed to apprehend the vehicle and on checking of the alleged vehicle, fake documents were



recovered and Amit was arrested and made his disclosure statement. On 30.07.2023 accused Dalbir was arrested and on 09.08.2024 Rahul was arrested. Further, on the disclosure statement made by accused Sahil, present petitioner was arrested on 20.08.2024. After completion of investigation, challan has already presented in Court wherein 19 witnesses have been cited by the prosecution and till date only 1 witness has been examined. Co-accused Sahil has since been granted concession of bail vide order dated 12.12.2024 passed in CRM-M-57058-2024 by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court. The conclusion of trial, to ascertain criminal liability, if any, of the petitioner will take sufficient long time, and also the fact that the petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents, no purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner any longer in custody.

7. In these circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court concerned, if not required in any other case; undertaking to regularly appear on each and every date; not to leave the country without prior permission of the Court; and not to tamper with evidence of prosecution in any manner.

8. Any observation made above shall not be construed as opinion of this Court on the merits of the case.

9. Pending application(s) if any shall also stand disposed of

**(SANJIV BERRY)**  
**JUDGE**

**28.04.2025**

Gyan

i) Whether speaking/reasoned?  
ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No