



-1-

225

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1508-2021

Date of decision:-26.11.2025

M/s Jindal Agro Mills Private Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sammi, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 7.

Ms. Kamaldeep Kaur, Advocate and
Mr. Harpreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Adarsh Jain, Senior Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 04.02.2025, this Court passed the following order:

“Till date no effective step has been taken by the petitioner to serve the unserved respondents No. 3,4,5,8 and 9. One last and final opportunity is granted to the petitioner, to take corrective measures within 10 days from today to serve the unserved respondents. On doing so, fresh notices to the aforesaid respondents be issued for 16.7.2025.

A written request for an adjournment has been circulated on behalf of the learned counsel for respondents no. 1 and 7.



-2-

225

Asked for request is accepted.

Reply, if any, by respondents No. 1 and 7 be positively filed on or before the next date of hearing.”

2. On the deferred date, the following order was passed:

“Neither anybody has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner, nor the order dated 02.04.2025, as passed by this Court, has been complied with.

Though, there is hardly any justification to defer the proceedings, however, purely, in the interest of justice, one last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to comply with the order (supra), subject to the costs of Rs.5,000/-, to be deposited with PGI Poor Patient Welfare Fund, Chandigarh.

Adjourned to 26.11.2025.”

3. Today also there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Petitioner is unrepresented on three consecutive occasions. It is not clear as to whether orders passed by this Court have been complied with and as to whether cost imposed on the previous date of hearing has been deposited. It seems that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the petition.

4. Dismissed in default for non-prosecution.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

26.11.2025
Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No