



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102-B

COCP-392-2009 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 27.10.2025

Kuldeep Singh ...Petitioner

vs.

K.B.S. Sidhu, Secretary & anr. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Animesh Sharma, Addl.A.G. Punjab

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner on the last date of hearing and Registry was directed to issue notice to the petitioner (s) as well as to his counsel. As per office report, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has been informed telephonically whereas notice issued to the petitioner is still awaited.
2. Today the matter was called twice but there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that the petitioner is no more interested in pursuing the present case. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present petition for want of prosecution.
3. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. Pending application (s), if any, also stand disposed of.

27.10.2025	(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
Gaurav Arora	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No