



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(241)

FAO-1115-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-10.09.2025

MOHINDER PAL SAINI

... Appellant

Versus

JASVIR KUMAR @ HABRI THROUGH LRS RANI DEVI AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL**Present:-** None for the appellant.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Despite the case having been called twice, none has entered appearance on behalf of the appellant. A perusal of the record further reveals that ever since the filing of the present appeal, there has been no effective representation on behalf of the appellant. This conduct clearly indicates that the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. In such circumstances, this Court is left with no alternative but to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution.

2. In view of the foregoing discussion and having regard to the prevailing circumstances, there is no justification to retain the appeal on the docket indefinitely. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution. However, liberty is reserved to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, if so advised and if any grievance subsists against this order, by moving an appropriate application within a period of '**three months**' from today.



3. As a natural corollary, since the main appeal stands disposed of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

10.09.2025
Gaurav Sorot

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No