



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(110)

CR-63-2025

Date of decision: - 10.01.2025

Madhu Atri

....Petitioner

Versus

Shivdarshan Sharma

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate,
Mr. Rahul Gugnani, Advocate, and
Mr. Anuj Sehrawat, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 07.12.2024 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gurugram.
2. Faced with the law laid down by a Full Bench of this Court in *Civil Revision No.351 of 1974, decided on 25.04.1977* titled as 'Arjan Motors Vs. Girdhara Singh and others' and also in the judgment of Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in 'Arun Kumar Goyal Vs. Payal Aggarwal', reported as *2013(4) R.C.R. (Civil) 93*, holding that the revision petition is not maintainable against the decision of Court fee, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition with a liberty to raise all pleas in the written statement in accordance with law.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

January 10, 2025
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No