

2024:PHHC:164909



223.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-581-2024

Date of decision:10.12.2024

Mahinga Singh @ Gandhi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Aayush Jindal, Advocate for
Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate, for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The case has been called twice. When the case was called at the first instance, a prayer was made for pass-over/adjournment. The prayer for pass-over was declined in view of the fact that the instant petition seeking the concession of bail under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. has been pending since January, 2024 and a perusal of most of the previous orders of this Court reveals that on some pretext or the other, adjournments have either been sought by learned counsel for the petitioner or there has been no representation on his behalf.

In almost identical circumstances, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in an order dated 19.11.2024 passed in special leave petition (criminal)

diary No.22040/2024 rejected the request for another adjournment, as earlier the petitioner therein had sought adjournment thrice, and dismissed the said petition for want of prosecution.

The present petition also stands dismissed for non-prosecution in view of the repeated non-appearances of learned counsel for the petitioner/requests for adjournments.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

December 10, 2024
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No