



**405 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-19906-2003 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.11.2025**

Gurpartap Singh and Others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Raj Kumar Arya, Advocate
for petitioner No.1.

Mr. Chanchal K. Singla, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 29.08.2003 passed by Deputy Inspector General of Police, Border Range, Amritsar. The relevant extracts of impugned order dated 29.08.2003 read as:

“4. I have carefully gone through the responsibilities and other relevant office records/PPRs produced before me to decide the representation. There is no Govt. sanction for the posting of police official as an “Extra Hands” in office nor there is mention in this regard in the PPR. The power to change the Cadre of a police employee rest with IGP now DGP vide PPR 1.11 read with pervisiion of PPR Chapter 2. It may be further mentioned here that the DGP/Punjab. Chandigarh vide his office memo No.3671-3771/E-2 dated 4.2.2002 has issued Standing Order No.2/2002 in regard to the selection and promotion of constables into the Executive Clerical Cadre in which certain conditions have been incorporated.

Therefore, necessary action in this regard is being taken accordingly by this office for absorption of eligible constables in to Executive Clerical Cadre.

5. Keeping in view the facts explained above, there seems no justification to set-aside the orders issued by the than DIG/Border Range, Amritsar vide No. 1973-74/BR-I dated 27.7.1999 and to accept the representation submitted by the above named Constables. Therefore, I reject the representation on merit being devoid of any force.”

2. Mr. Raj Kumar Arya, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of petitioner No.1 and filed his power of attorney. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place on the case file.
3. Learned counsel representing the parties are *ad idem* that petitioners No.1 and 5 namely Gurpartap Singh and Gursharanpal Kaur are in service and others have retired.
4. The petitioners are serving or have served in Executive Clerical Cadre in view of interim orders of this Court. A period of 22 years has passed away. There seems no reason to disturb their posting at this belated stage.
5. Accordingly, the interim order dated 29.08.2003 is hereby made absolute.
6. ***Disposed of.***
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

03.11.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No