



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122+123+126

CWP-112-2025

Date of decision: 17.02.2025

SHISHPAL AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CWP-941-2025

SOHAN LAL AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CWP-943-2025

SHAMSHER SINGH

....PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CWP-1008-2025

DARSHAN SINGH AND ANOTHER

....PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CWP-3880-2025

SONA DEVI

....PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Hardeep Singh Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for the respondent-State and also representing respondent-HVPNL in
CWP-1008 of 2025 and 3880 of 2025.

Mr. Prince Singh, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 to 5 in CWP-112-2025 and
CWP-943 of 2024.

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Advocate and
Ms. Manreet Kaur, Advocate
for the respondent-HVPNL in CWP-941 of 2025.



CWP-112-2025

-2-

and other connected cases

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. By this common order, above-said petitions are being disposed of since the issues involved in all the petitions and prayers sought therein are common. With the consent of parties and for the sake of brevity, facts are borrowed from CWP-112-2025.

2. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to provide them the benefit of 'Old Pension Scheme'.

3. Counsel for the respondents submit that Competent Authority in CWP-943 of 2025 and CWP-112 of 2025 has rejected claim of the petitioners *qua* 'Old Pension Scheme'. The claim has been rejected in the light of judgment dated **20.05.2022** passed by Supreme Court in '***State of Bihar v. Rajmati Devi***', **2022 SCC OnLine SC 669**. The legal notice in case of other petitioners would also be decided by way of passing speaking order within 10 days from today.

4. Faced with this, the petitioners seek liberty to assail rejection order.

5. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the petitions stand disposed of with liberty to petitioners to assail orders passed by respondents whereby their claim *qua* 'Old Pension Scheme' has been rejected.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

17.02.2025

manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No