



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

293

CRM-M-485-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.02.2025

LOVEPREET SINGH AND OTHERSPetitioners
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Dhiraj Jindal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Gurlal Singh, Advocate for respondent no.2.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.83 dated 12.09.2024 under Sections 110, 333, 115(2), 351, 191(3) and 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 20.12.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Status report has been filed by respondent-State. The same is taken on record and be tagged at the appropriate place. It has been further submitted by learned State counsel that during the course of investigation, offence under Section 117(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') has been added. At this stage, on oral request of learned counsel for the petitioners, the offence under Section 117(2) of BNS is ordered to be added in the head note as well as prayer clause of the petition. It is ordered so. Registry to do the needful.



3. This Court vide order dated 10.01.2025 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Sangrur and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 10.02.2025 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

5. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

6. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

7. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.83 dated 12.09.2024 under Sections 110, 333, 115(2), 351, 191(3) and 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 117(2) of BNS added later on registered at Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 20.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) are quashed qua the petitioners.

19.02.2025

Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No