



(105)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-1302-2004 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 18.11.2025

Jagmohan
Versus
Shammi Sethi and another
...Petitioner(s)
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- Mr. Varun Parkash, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 and 2.
* * * *

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not coming forward to provide the correct addresses of respondents No. 3 to 5 in RSA-3347-2004 inspite of the best efforts made by learned counsel. It seems that the petitioner is not interested in further pursuing the contempt petition.
2. In such circumstances, the contempt petition is dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the contempt petition within a period of three months in case he is interested.
3. The contempt petition accordingly stands dismissed for want of prosecution with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

18.11.2025
Amodh Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	√ Yes/No
Whether reportable	√ Yes/No