

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

130

2025:PHHC:042024



CR-29-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.03.2025

RUPINDER KAUR

..Petitioner

Versus

SACHIN RANA

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate
Mr. Sourav Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsh Manocha, Advocate
for respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner admits that in view of the judgment passed by the Supreme Court in **Ratnavermaraju Vs. Smt. Vimla AIR 1961 (SC) 1299**, the revision petition filed by the petitioner (the defendant before the trial Court) is not maintainable against the order passed by the trial Court refusing to direct the plaintiff to pay *ad valorem* Court fee because basically the issue of Court fee relates to the Court and the plaintiff.
2. He submits that the notice of motion was issued only to explore the possibility of settlement.
3. This revision petition is pending for the last 4 years.
4. There has been no development so far.
5. The Court has been informed that the parties to this litigation are involved in multiple litigations, which are pending before the Courts below.



- 6. Hence, the parties are free to explore the possibility of settlement before the Court below.
- 7. With these observations, the revision petition is disposed of.
- 8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

March 27th, 2025
Ayub

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*