



103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-494-2025

Date of decision: 10.01.2025

Parminder Singh @ Pinder

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for issuance of direction to the arresting officer to release the petitioner on bail forthwith in the event of his arrest in case bearing FIR No.40 dated 13.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Section 307 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act and Sections 302/34/120-B of IPC and Sections 25/27 of Arms Act were added later on, registered at Police Station Bhargo Camp, District Jalandhar, Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has not played any active role in the murder of the deceased and he is not attributed any specific allegation. He further submits that the petitioner has been nominated as an accused on the basis of disclosure statement made by the co-accused while in police custody which has no evidentiary value in the eyes of law and the Investigating Agency has already concluded the investigation and presented the final report under Section 193 of BNSS (earlier Section 173 of Cr.P.C.) before the jurisdictional Court.



CRM-M-494-2025

-2-

Per contra, the learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh, submits that the pistol used in the occurrence by co-accused, namely, Baljit Singh, was provided by the petitioner and he is involved in six more cases and his complicity in the criminal conspiracy hatched by the accused to commit murder of the deceased is clearly proved and therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required for further investigation in the FIR (*supra*).

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the seriousness of the allegations and the fact that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to unearth the truth, no ground is made out to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and thus, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

10.01.2025*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No