



207/A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-144-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.04.2025**

SANJAY PANNU

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS .. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Brijesh Kumar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J.(ORAL)

This petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing respondents no.1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members, who are facing constant threats from respondent no.5, an influential former MLA and President of Bar Association.

2. The complaint dated 23.12.2022, Annexure P-5, filed by the petitioner regarding threats apprehended by him indicates that there have been civil disputes between the parties. This led to his and his brother's arrest also. It has been concluded by the Police that both of them have been filing false complaints against respondent no.5 to create undue pressure. The reply filed by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police,

**CRWP-144-2023 (O&M)****-2-**

Samalkha, Panipat, dated 13.03.2023, to that effect is as under:

3. That the complaint dated 23/12/2022 (P-5) is entered in the record of police at Sr.No.2434-Dasti dated 23/12/2022/CCSTNS No.00013234071224522 and the same was enquired by SI Surender Singh No.8 and both the parties were joined in enquiry wherein the petitioner has recorded his statement that he cannot come before the respondent No.4 because he has some civil litigation with him and whereas the respondent No.5 has recorded his statement in the enquiry that on 17/09/2022 the petitioner and his brother Satish had broken the wall of the dispute plot and tried to tress-passed the said house therefore an FIR No. 494 of 2022 was registered against them at P.S. Samalkha wherein the petitioner and his brother were arrested by the police and in revenge thereof the petitioner and his brothers have been filing false complaints against the respondent No.5 with a malafide intention to create pressure. Keeping in view of the statements of both the parties no cognizable offence was found to be made out so the complaint was disposed of by the SHO, P.S.Samalkha, District Panipat vide report dated 24/12/2022. The report is enclosed with the Reply as Annexure R-2 for the kind perusal of this Hon'ble Court.

3. As apparent, the solitary complaint, dated 23.12.2022, filed by the petitioner was duly enquired into and a report, dated 24.12.2022, was submitted closing the matter as no cognizable offence was made out. Ever since, there has not been any complaint by the petitioner regarding threat to the life and liberty.

4. In view thereof, the petition stands disposed of. In case, there is any apprehension to the petitioner's life and liberty in future, he will be at liberty to make representation to that effect to respondent no.2 and appropriate action on the same will be taken in accordance with law.

29.04.2025
smriti

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No