



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2375 of 2025(O&M)

Date of Order: 17.11.2025

Paras Nath Tiwari

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Jashandeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S.Wadhwa, DAG, Punjab.

Prosecutrix in person.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, JUDGECRM-37296 of 2025

Allowed as prayed for.

Annexures P-3 to P-5 are taken on record.

CRM-M-2375 of 2025

Petitioner seeks regular bail in case vide FIR No.100, dated 29.10.2024, under Section 75(1)(i) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, Police Station City Morinda, District Roopnagar, Punjab. This is his first petition for regular bail.

In her first report to the police, prosecutrix alleged that she was working as staff nurse in Rajindra Hospital, Patiala. That day, after duty she boarded bus from Patiala to Solakhian toll tax. The bus was crowded. A middle aged man came from behind and stood next to her. He started touching her left shoulder with his hand. When she protested, he moved



aside. Later, he put his private organ on her shoulder near Morinda Bus Stand and molested her. When she raised alarm, the bus conductor and other passengers thrashed him. He disclosed his name as Parasnath Tiwari son of Satdev Tiwari.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was more than 60 years of age, in custody for the last one year. It was submitted that he had a quarrel with the prosecutrix during the bus journey which was given colour of offence. During his incarceration, he lost his eye sight for which he had been operated upon in PGI. It has been prayed that petitioner be enlarged on regular bail.

Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for regular bail on the ground of nature of substance of allegations against the petitioner.

Concededly, statement of the prosecutrix has been recorded during the course of trial. Petitioner is in custody for the last more than one year. His antecedents are clean. There is nothing to suggest that he would evade trial. There is no prospect of the petitioner influencing any of the material witnesses, who stand examined. In these circumstances, but without commenting on merits, petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

17th November, 2025
nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No