



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

323

CRM-M-336-2025

Date of decision: March 10th, 2025

Lakha Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar Singla, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. Harkirat Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.389 dated 23.05.2024 under Sections 201, 323, 325 and 506 of the IPC registered at Police Station Assandh, District Karnal, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 18.12.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 09.01.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 07.02.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned SDJM, Assandh, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands

verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the copies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.
5. Learned State counsel has submitted that in the occurrence in question, one more person Komalpreet Kaur had been inflicted injuries by some of the other accused, however, concededly all those persons, who had been attributed injury on the person of Komalpreet Kaur, had been exonerated and not challaned.
6. Even as per the report received from the learned trial Court, complainant is the only victim in the present case.
7. In view of the report of the learned SDJM, Assandh, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.
8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

March 10th, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No