



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-693-2025

Date of Decision:24.01.2025

Rekha Rani

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab and Anr.

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present: Mr. Mandeep Kumar Dhot, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner/complainant had filed a complaint before the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala, without annexing supporting affidavit and the Trial Court had dismissed the complaint by relying upon the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **"Priyanka Sirivastava and Anr. Vs. State of U.P.& Ors, AIR 2015,SC,1758."** He further contends that the petitioner/complainant wishes to file another complaint before the same Court, after annexing proper affidavit and after making the complaine of the mandatory provisions of law and he may be granted liberty to file the fresh complaint with same cause of action, after annexing the proper affidavit and in compliance of the mandatory provisions of law.

The petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition, with liberty aforesaid.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty aforesaid.

24.01.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No