

2025:PHHC:001568-DB

LPA-16-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.01.2025

Sulekha Rani @ Sulekha Rani Hooda

...Appellant

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for the appellant.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. The appellant has assailed the order passed by the learned Single Bench dated 20.12.2024, whereby the Single Judge declined to accept the request of the appellant for cancelling the transfer order passed by the respondents dated 08.11.2024 and the order dated 28.11.2024, by which her representation was rejected.

2. Learned counsel submits that the appellant has a unique situation where her husband is permanently posted at Karnal Cooperative Sugar Mills while the appellant is on a transferable post. Now, she has been transferred to Panchkula as a Senior Scientific Officer. The appellant ought to be allowed to continue at Karnal.

3. We have considered the submissions.

4. The scope of interference in transfer matters is very limited and the policy of keeping husband-and-wife together at a district cannot be for the entire life. It appears that the policy has been followed by the respondents as the appellant continued to work upto November, 2024 at the same place namely Karnal where her husband is working and it is now that she has been transferred after she was promoted as Senior Scientific Officer on 21.08.2024.

5. Having accepted her promotion, the appellant could not claim to continue at the same place. The grievance relating to family circumstances is a factor which is common with all the employees who have a transferable job. The same, therefore, cannot be a reason to interfere with the transfer order. More so, all senior officers are required to be posted at various places during their tenure of higher post. The representation has, therefore, been rightly rejected by the respondents. The appellant, of course, can always move appropriate representation as and when the next transfers are made by the State Government.

6. With the aforesaid observations, the appeal is dismissed.

7. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

09.01.2025
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	:	Yes/No