

404

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1136-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 29.01.2025

VISHNU KUMAR @ GOLU & ORS

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Ajay Singh Pundir, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR
(Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the
basis of compromise dated 20.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the
parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
43	31.03.2022	307, 148, 149 IPC and 25, 27 of Arms Act, 1959	Dayalpura, District Bathinda

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the
society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated
20.12.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was
referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to
report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the



parties. The learned District and Sessions Judge, Bathinda vide report dated 23.01.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited the cost of Rs.50,000/- in compliance to the order dated 14.01.2025.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned District and Sessions Judge, Bathinda and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.43 dated 31.03.2022, under Sections 307, 148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Dayalpura, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

29.01.2025

S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No