



211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-75-2025

Date of Decision:- 21.04.2025

LOVEPREET SINGH

....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. G.S. Bains, Advocate for Mr. Ramandeep, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner has filed instant petition under Section 482 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.88 dated 13.09.2024 under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Women District Patiala.

2. As per the facts of the case, present FIR has been registered on the written complaint of Amanjot Kaur against her husband and in-laws family. She stated that her marriage was solemnized with Lovepreet Singh on 18.11.2011 as per sikh rites and customs. Her parents had spent around Rs.15 lakhs on marriage out of which Rs.1,55,000/- were given in cash since accused persons wanted to purchase new Breeza car. Complainant has given detail of gold ornaments, furniture and other household articles given to her at the time of marriage. She has levelled allegations of maltreatment on



account of demand of dowry. In the year 2023 they agreed to purchase a house in defence colony and the down payment of Rs.2,85,000/- was made by her parents and her husband did not contribute even a single penny. He managed to get agreement to sell as well as sale deed in his name. He had also obtained loan by mortgaging her gold. There was continuous maltreatment and beatings given to her in the matrimonial home. Ultimately, she filed the present complaint.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that he was granted interim bail vide order dated 07.01.2025 and he has fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He is still ready to join the investigation as and when required. Dowry articles are already recovered.

4. Status report has been filed confirming the facts detailed in the FIR. Today, learned counsel representing State confirmed that dowry articles are already recovered and petitioner is not required for further investigation.

5. In view of the factual position, presence of petitioner is not required. Facts of the case will be decided on merits during trial. Since petitioner joined investigation and also assisted in the recovery of dowry articles, no purpose would be served by sending him behind the bars. In light of this, interim bail already granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 07.01.2025 stands confirmed subject to the conditions enshrined under Section 482 (2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

6. Petition is, accordingly, allowed.



7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

21.04.2025
snd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No