



170

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CW-02 of 2025 (O&M) in
CWP-29179-2024**

Date of Decision: 11.07.2025

MAJ GEN. MS CHANDHOKE (RETD)

.....Review-Applicant/Petitioner

Versus

UOI AND ORS.

.....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Applicant in person.

Mr. Rohit Verma, Advocate for respondent-UOI

LISA GILL., J.

1. Applicant/writ petitioner seeks review of decision dated 29.11.2024 whereby writ petition filed by him was dismissed. He has raised various grounds to submit that decision dated 29.11.2024 has been erroneously passed without considering the facts and circumstances or the applicable law. Petitioner-applicant in fact seeks to re-argue the matter in the garb of this review application. He is unable to point out any ground which calls for review of decision dated 29.11.2024.

2. It is a settled position that Court's jurisdiction to review its judgement is limited and has to be exercised within the framework of Section 114 read with Order 47 CPC. Gainful reference in this respect can be made to judgements of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Parsion**

**Devi and others Vs. Sumitri Devi & others, 1997(8) SCC 715,
Ashrafi Devi through L.Rs Vs. State of U.P. and others, 2019(5)
SCC 86 and Shri Ram Sahu through L.Rs and others Vs. Vinod
Kumar Rawat and others AIR Online 2020 SC 813.**

3. In the given factual matrix, we do not find any ground
which calls for review of decision dated 29.11.2024
4. Review application is accordingly dismissed.
5. Pending application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

July 11, 2025
ankit/sonia arora

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No