



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-248-2025(O&M)

Date of Decision: 05.08.2025

MUKUL KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mohit Khatkar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. Apprehending his arrest the petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.485 dated 27.11.2024 under Sections 354-A, 376(2)(n), 376/511 and 506 read with Section 34 of IPC registered at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar, Haryana.

2. This Court while issuing notice of motion on 09.01.2025 passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioner and respondent No.2 vide compromise Annexure P-2 and even otherwise also they are now planning to get married.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Surinder Kumar Dagar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1-State.

Adjourned to 24.04.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to co-operate fully with the investigation process and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail, subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.”



3. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Manit Kumar submits that in compliance of order dated 09.01.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

4. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 09.01.2025 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute.

5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner.

7. The accused/petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him or her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

8. The accused/petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

9. The accused/petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called by the police.

10. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move to this Court for a direction under Section 483(3) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973) to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.



Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of accordingly.

05.08.2025 <i>Kavita</i>	(KIRTI SINGH) JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No