



CRWP-35-2025

1

111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-35-2025

Date of decision: 03.01.2025

NISHA SHARMA AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE **AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, AAG, Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J (Oral):

1. Petitioners Nisha Sharma and Sukhdev Singh who are residents of Chahal, Sub Tehsil Bhadson, District Patiala, Punjab have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners and also directing respondents No.4 to 6 not to interfere in the married life of the petitioners.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 02.01.2002. Copy of her Aadhar Card is Annexure P-1. Date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 21.05.1996. Copy of his Aadhar Card is Annexure P-2 and Matriculation Examination Certificate is Annexure P-3. They had performed marriage on 01.01.2025. Copy of their marriage certificate is Annexure P-4. Petitioners also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, which is Annexure P-5.

Petitioners had performed marriage without the consent and wishes of parents and relative of petitioner No.1, from whom, petitioners are



receiving threats.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. On asking of this Court Mr. Gautam Thapar, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.
- 5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.
- 6. I have considered facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of parents and relative of petitioner No.1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondent Nos.2 & 3 are directed to consider the representation of petitioners dated 01.01.2025 (Annexure P-5) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.
- 7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
- 8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.
- 9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

03.01.2025
monika

- 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes /No