



210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24-2025

Date of Decision: 24.02.2025

JAGDEEP SINGH ALIAS JASDEEP SINGH ... PETITIONER
VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB ... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner namely Jagdeep Singh @ Jasdeep Singh, aged about 46 years, seeking grant of concession of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.281, dated 27.11.2024, under Section 406 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Baghapurana, District Moga.

2. On 07.01.2025, the following order was passed by this Court:-

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner namely Jagdeep Singh @ Jasdeep Singh, aged about 46 years, seeking grant of concession of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.281, dated 27.11.2024, under Section 406 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Baghapurana, District Moga.

2. Counsel for the petitioner relies upon the compromise deed dated 03.02.2024 (Annexure P-1), which has been signed by the complainants Paramjit Singh and Jasdeep Singh, and submits that in fact, there was an exchange

between the Scorpio S-11 vehicle bearing registration No.DL 8 CAV 7958 and Toyota Fortuner bearing registration No.PB878374, and the civil dispute arisen between the two had been resolved with the execution of the said compromise.

3. By referring to the order dated 23.12.2024 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Moga, counsel for the petitioner submits that the reliance placed by the Court below is misconceived because merely on the basis of the statement made by the Investigating Officer that the signatures are not of the complainant - Paramjit Singh, same has been believed without there being verification of the signatures from some expert.

4. Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab, puts an appearance on behalf of the respondent/State and Mr. Anoop Singh, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant, and files his vakalatnama in Court today, which is taken on record. Office to tag the same at appropriate place in case file.

5. Learned State counsel, after seeking instructions from the Investigating Officer who is present in the Court, submits that the signatures of Paramjit Singh on the compromise deed dated 03.02.2024 would be verified very soon. Thus, he prays for some time.

6. Adjourned to 15.01.2025.

7. In the meanwhile, petitioner would not be arrested.

8. To be shown in the urgent list.”

3. Thereafter, again on 15.01.2025, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned State counsel, appearing along with ASI Baljit Singh (IO), submits that until, petitioner hands over original set of the document of compromise, signature of the complainant cannot be verified.

In view of this, petitioner is directed to hand over the original compromise deed to the concerned Investigating Officer, within next three days, and on asking of the concerned Investigating Officer, complainant would also give his signature, within a period of next three days.

Adjourned to 24.02.2025.

Interim order to continue.”

4. Today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
5. Learned State counsel submits that despite granting opportunities for a period of more than a month, the Investigating Officer has not been supplied with the original compromise deed.
6. Dismissed for want of prosecution.
7. However, it is made clear that in case, the petitioner wishes to hand over the original copy of the compromise deed, within two weeks from today, he may seek revival of the present petition.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

24.02.2025

smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No