



321

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-27-2025

DECIDED ON: 04.02.2025

SUNAIANA AND ANR.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: None for the petitioners.
Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG Punjab
Mr. Jatin Bansal, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 10.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 10.

Status report filed by way of an affidavit of Manoj Gors, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-II, District Patiala, is taken on record.

The attention of this Court has been drawn to para 4 of the said affidavit wherein it has been categorically observed that during an inquiry the statement of petitioner No.1 has been recorded stating that they had no received any threats from private respondents and they do not want to pursue the petition.

In the light of above, this Court is of the view that no threat perception is exist in the present case.

Hence the present petition stands disposed off having been rendered infructuous.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

04.02.2025

Sham

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No