



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

110

CRWP-17-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.01.2025

Lalita Saini and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Manjot Singh Rai, Advocate for the petitioners.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from respondents No. 5 to 8 and not to harass the petitioners at the instance of respondents No. 5 to 8 as the petitioners got married with each other, against the wishes of private respondents.

Petitioner No.1-Lalita Saini is stated to be born on 12.09.2004 and for this purpose, reference has been made to Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-1). Petitioner No.2-Sahil Khichi is stated to be born on 28.12.1997 and for the said purpose, reference has been made to Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-3). The petitioners are major and have solemnized Marriage on 28.12.2024. A copy of the Marriage Certificate of the petitioners is annexed to the petition as Annexure P-5.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Surinder Kumar Dagar, DAG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents Nos.1 to 4 and has stated that he



has no objection in case respondent No.3 is directed to look into the representation of the petitioners on the aspect of threat perception and to take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.

It goes without saying that the protection of life and liberty is a basic feature of the Constitution of India as emanating out of Article 21. Every person, moreso, a major, has right to live his/her life with a person of his/her choice. Whenever this Court, prima-facie, is satisfied that on account of some relatives/ persons being unhappy with the relationship between the petitioners could cause harm to the life and liberty of the petitioners, then in such circumstances, the Courts are required to pass necessary directions for their protection.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the representation dated 30.12.2024 (Annexure P-6) and to assess the threat perception to the petitioners and after considering the same, respondent No.3 shall take appropriate action in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of with above said directions.

Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

03.01.2025
Divyanshi

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No