

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 6518 OF 2018

Petition Under Article 227 of the Constitution of India aggrieved by the Order and decree in CMA No. 11 of 2017 dated 19-09-2018 on the file of the Court of the VI Additional District Judge at Siddipet preferred against the order and decree dated 31-12-2016 in IA No. 474 of 2016 in O.S.No. 136 of 2016 on the file of the Court of the Principal Junior Civil Judge at Gajwel

Between:

**Smt. Madanu Lurdamma, W/o. Jojaiah, Occ-Agriculture, R/o. Alirajpet Village,
Jagadevpur Mandal, Siddipet District**

...PETITIONER/ Respondent

AND

- 1. Madanu Luddaiah S/o. Rayanna, Occ-Agriculture, R/o. Alirajpet Village, Jagadevpur Mandal, Siddipet District**
- 2. Govindu Pasula, S/o. Lazaras, Occ-Agriculture,**
- 3. Pasula Shourilu, S/o. Arogyaiah, Occ-Agriculture,**

All are R/o. Alirajpet Village, Jagadevpur Mandal, Siddipet District

...RESPONDENTS/ Appellants

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the order and decree in CMA.No.11 of 2017 dated 19-09-2018 on the file of the court of the VI Additional District Judge, Siddipet, pending disposal of the CRP .

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. G. ARUN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : SRI G. ANANDAM

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 : NONE APPEARED

The Court made the following ORDER:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA
C.R.P. No.6518 of 2018

ORDER:

Today when the matter has come up before this Court for hearing, learned counsel for the revision petitioners submits that the cause in the Civil Revision Petition does not survive and has become infructuous.

2. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the revision petitioners, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.
3. Miscellaneous petitions, if any are pending, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- A.PRATHIMA
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER


To,

1. The VI Additional District Judge at Siddipet , Medak Dist.
2. The Principal Junior Civil Judge at Gajwel, Medak Dist.
3. One CC to SRI. G. ARUN KUMAR Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to SRI. G ANANDAM Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies


kul/PSL

HIGH COURT
DATED: 25/11/2025

ORDER
CRP.No.6518 of 2018



DISMISSING THE CIVIL REVISION PETITION AS INFRUCTUOUS
WITHOUT COSTS

⑦MT
12/2/26