

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE JUVVADI SRIDEVI

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1012 OF 2025

Criminal Revision filed under Section 397/401 of Cr.PC to set aside the order Dt. 10-12-2025 passed in Crl M.P. No. 1257 of 2025, in SC SPL No. 112/2024 on the file of the Court of the Fast Track Special Judge for Trial and disposal or Rape and Pocso Act Cases, Ranga Reddy District, at L.B.Nagar and thereby allowing the petition for recalling of witness PW-1 for further cross examination, and pass such other order or orders, the Court may deem fit and proper, in the interest of justice.

Between:

Gunja Venkanna, S/o Balraj Aged about 22 years, Occ. Labour Work, R/o Flat No. 614, Double Bedroom Flats, Yerukala Nancharamma Colony, L. B. Nagar, R. R. District.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court for Telangana State, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased please to stay all further proceedings in S.C. SPL No. 112/2024 on the file of the Hon'ble Fast Track Special Judge for Trial and Disposal of Rape and POCSO Act cases, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar, during pending final disposal of main Crl RC and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case..

Counsel for the Petitioner(s):Mr. S.Vijaya Prashanth

**Counsel for the Respondents: Mr. M.Ramachandra Reddy,
Additional Public Prosecutor**

The Court made the following: ORDER:

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE JUVVADI SRIDEVI

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.1012 of 2025

31 DECEMBER, 2025

Between:

Gunja Venkanna

... Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana

Rep. by its Public Prosecutor

... Respondent

: ORDER :

Challenging the order, dated 10.12.2025 passed in Crl.M.P.No 1257 of 2025 in S.C.Spl.No.112 of 2024 by the learned Fast Track Special Judge for Trial and Disposal of Rape and POCSO Act Cases, Ranga Reddy District at L.B. Nagar (hereinafter referred to as 'trial Court'), this Criminal Revision Case is filed. By the impugned order, the application filed by the petitioner under Section 311 of Cr.P.C. seeking to recall PW1 for further cross-examination was dismissed.

2. Heard Mr. S.Vijay Prashanth, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State and perused the record.

3. Case of the petitioner is that S.C.Spl.No.112 of 2024 was filed against him for the offences under Sections 354-A of IPC, 11(ii) r/w. 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and Section 3(2)(Va) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Amendment Act, 2015. During the cross-examination, since PW1 was not confronted with certain important suggestions and omissions in her evidence, the petitioner filed an application under Section 311 of Cr.P.C. seeking to recall the said witness for further cross examination. However, the said application was dismissed by the trial Court. It is his further case that the evidence of PW1 is crucial for proper adjudication of the matter. Hence, he prayed to allow the revision by setting aside the impugned order.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per Section 311 of Cr.P.C., Court may at any stage of proceedings can re-summon any person, if his evidence appears to be essential for just decision in the case. Hence, prayed to allow the revision.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the trial Court has rightly passed the impugned order and the same cannot be interfered with and prayed to dismiss the revision.

6. A perusal of the impugned order reveals that the trial Court has dismissed the application filed by the petitioner under Section 311 of Cr.P.C. seeking recall of PW1 for further cross-examination, holding that the evidence already on record, including the cross-examination of PWs.1 and 9, adequately addresses the defence contentions with regard to the alleged omissions and contradictions.

7. In view of the above, this Court is of the considered opinion that the trial Court has rightly dismissed the said application and there is no infirmity, illegality, or irregularity in the impugned order warranting interference by this Court in exercise of its revisional jurisdiction.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

**Sd/- N.CHANDRA SEKHAR
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To


1. The Fast Track Spl. Judge for Trial and Disposal of Rape and POCSO Act Cases, Rangareddy District at L.B.Nagar.
2. The Station House Officer, L.B.Nagar Police Station, Hyderabad.
3. One CC to Sri. S.Vijaya Prashanth, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies.

PSK/BA



HIGH COURT

DATED:31/12/2025



ORDER

CRLRC.No.1012 of 2025

DISMISSING THE CRIMINAL REVISION CASE

⑧
22/01/26
AK