IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 39717 OF 2025

Between:

Poreddy Chandra Shekhar Reddy, S/o. Krishna Reddy, Aged 56 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o. H.No. 7-57/1, Manneguda Village, Ragannaguda Grampanchayat, Hyathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary Department of Municipal Administration and Urban Development Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Tank Bund, Hyderabad, Rep.by the Commissioner,
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Turkayamjal Municipality.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order, or Direction, more particularly a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of Respondent No.3 in interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner over the house bearing No.7-57/1 admeasuring 402 Sq. Yards, situated at Manneguda Village, Ragannaguda Gram Panchayat, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, without following due process of law, as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to settled principles of law, and violative of Articles 14 and 300A of the Constitution of India, and consequently, direct Respondent No.3 not to interfere with the petitioners peaceful possession and enjoyment of the said property, in the interest of justice.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to restrain Respondent No.3 from interfering with petitioners peaceful possession and enjoyment of the house bearing No.7-57/1 admeasuring 402 Sq. Yards, situated at Manneguda Village, Ragannaguda Gram Panchayat, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, pending disposal of the present writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI JANARDHAN REDDY KOTHA

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: SRI PUTTA KRISHNA REDDY, S.C. FOR GHMC

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: SRI KANCHANI LAXMAIAH, S.C. FOR MUNICIPALITIES

The Court made the following: **ORDER**

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B. VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.39717 OF 2025

DATE: 24-12-2025

Between:

Mr. Poreddy Chandra Shekhar Reddy

.... Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Department of Municipal Administration &
Urban Development,
Secretariat, Hyderabad,
and two others.

... Respondents

ORDER: (ORAL)

This writ petition is filed aggrieved by the action of respondent No.3 in interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner over the house bearing No.7-57/1, admeasuring 402 square yards, situated at Manneguda Village, Ragannaguda Gram Panchayat, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, without following due process of law.

2. It is claimed that originally the subject land admeasuring 402 square yards situated at Manneguda Village, Ragannaguda Gram Panchayat, Hayathnagar Mandal, was Grama Kantam land owned by

the grandfather of the petitioner. The property was used as cattle shed and had been in possession of the family of the petitioner for the past more than 90 years. In the oral partition of the joint family properties, the said land fell to the share of the petitioner. The petitioner obtained building permission from the Gram Panchayat for construction of a residential house *vide* permit No.GPR/66/2010-11 dated 12.02.2011. Building was constructed in the year 2011 and it was assigned H.No.7-57/1. The petitioner had been paying the property tax. While so, it is stated that respondent No.3, without any prior notice and without following due process of law, dismantled a portion of the house of the petitioner. As there is interference by the respondent authorities without following due process of law, the petitioner is constrained to approach this Court.

3. Heard Mr. Kotha Janardhan Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. Kanchani Laxmaiah, learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.3, and perused the material available on record.

4. Learned Standing Counsel for respondent No.3 submitted that the action, if any, against the petitioner in respect of the subject property will be taken in accordance with law.

5. In view of the above, this writ petition is disposed of directing respondent No.3 not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner in respect of the subject property, without following due process of law. No order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any pending in this writ petition, shall stand closed.

SD/-A.V.S. PRASAD
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Turkayamjal Municipality.
2. One CC to SRI JANARDHAN REDDY KOTHA, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP for Municipal Administration and Urban Development, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
4. One CC to SRI PUTTA KRISHNA REDDY, S.C. for GHMC [OPUC]
5. One CC to SRI KANCHANI LAXMAIAH, S.C. for Municipalities [OPUC]
6. Two CD Copies

MP
BS

HIGH COURT

DATE D:24/12/2025



ORDER

WP.No.39717 of 2025

DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION

WITHOUT COSTS

9 copies
fr
6/1/26