

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17512 of 2025

DATE: 30.12.2025

Between:

Ambem Sailu

.... Petitioner/A7

AND

The State of Telangana
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
High Court Building, at Hyderabad.
Through SHO P.S. Tadvai.

.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/A-7 seeking his enlargement on bail in connection with Crime No.160 of 2025 of Tadvai Police Station, Kamareddy District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 331(3), 305, 317(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).

2. The brief facts of the case are that, the *de-facto* complainant lodged a report with the police stating that on 02.12.2025, at about 07:00 hours, his children left for school, and at 08:00 hours, his wife and sister-in-law proceeded to Basara IIIT. At around 10:00 hours, after having his meal, the complainant locked his house and went out for hire work. Upon his return, he found the door lock broken and the door open. On verification, he noticed that one pair of gold earrings weighing 6 grams, two pairs of gold earrings weighing 1.5 grams each, two gold neck chains weighing 5 grams each (totaling 19 grams of gold), along with cash of Rs.50,000/-, had been stolen. Based on his complaint, a case was registered. During investigation, the police apprehended accused Nos.1 to 3 near Karadpalli-Kankal Road and seized certain gold ornaments from their possession. On the basis of their confessional statements, the petitioner was arrayed as Accused No.7. Subsequently, the petitioner was arrested, and some gold and silver ornaments were seized from his possession.

3. Heard Sri T.V. Kalyaan Singh, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner has been arrayed as Accused No.7 in Crime No.160 of 2025 and that the property seized from the petitioner does not correspond to the stolen property involved in the said crime. He further argued that the petitioner is innocent of the alleged offences and has been falsely implicated by the investigating agency and was arrested on 09.12.2025 and that the material part of the investigation has already been completed. Therefore, he prayed this Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, contending that the petitioner is a habitual offender and that he received stolen property from accused Nos.1 to 3 in several crimes. The allegations against the petitioner are grave in nature and that the investigation is still in progress. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon a perusal of the material available on record, it is evident that the petitioner has been arrayed as Accused

No.7 in Crime No.160 of 2025. The record further discloses that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 09.12.2025 and that the stolen property involved in the said crime and the property recovered from the possession of the petitioner do not tally with each other. As recorded in the remand case diary, the prosecution witnesses LWs.1 to 7, including the investigating officer, had already been examined. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, including the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, and the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge at Kamareddy District.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 30.12.2025
SS

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17512 OF 2025

DATE : 30.12.2025

SS