IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY,THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025
In/And
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 17215 OF 2025

Between:

Narala ChandraShekar, S/o Late N.Satyanarayana, Age 47 Years, Occ Electrical Business. R/oH.No2-1-549/1,Nallakunta, Vidyanagar,Hyderabad-44

...Petitioner/Accused in Crlp and I.A.No.4 of 2025

AND

1. The State of Telangana,, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana At Hyderabad.

...Respondent in Crlp and I.A.No.4 of 2025

2. Narala Hima Bindu, W/o Narala Chandra Shekar, D/o Late A.Dayanand, Aged about 35 Years, H.No 12-1-490/40/A/2,Suraj garden Function Hall, Tappachaputra Road, AsifNagar, Hyderabad.

...Respondent/Defacto Complainant in Crlp and I.A.No.4 of 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the criminal proceedings in CC No.3993/2021 on the file of XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings including appearance of the petitioners/accused in CC No.3993/2021 on the file of XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad. pending disposal of the quash petition.

I.A. NO: 3 OF 2025

Between:

Narala Hima Bindu, W/oNarala Chandra Shekar, D/o Late A.Dayanand, Aged about 35 Years, H.No 12-1-490/40/A/2,Suraj garden Function Hall, Tappachaputra Road, AsifNagar, Hyderabad.

...Petitioner/Respondent/Defacto Complainant

AND

1. Narala ChandraShekar, S/o Late N.Satyanarayana, Age 47 Years, Occ Electrical Business. R/oH.No2-1-549/1,Nallakunta, Vidyanagar,Hyderabad-44

...Petitioner/Accused

2. The State of Telangana,, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana At Hyderabad.

...Respondent

Petition under Section 359(6) of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner to compound the offence of Sec. 498-A IPC and Sec.4 and 6 of D.P.Act against the respondents/accused in C.C.No.3993/2021 on the file of XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad.

I.A. NO: 4 OF 2025

Petition under Section 359(2) of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner to compromise in C.C.No.3993/2021 on the file of XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad by allowing the Criminal Petition.

This Petition coming on for hearing upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Ms S.RAMA SUBHADRA, Advocate for the Petitioner and the Sri Jithender Rao Veeramalla, Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and of Sri K.Raja MOhan Babu, Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

The Court made the following: COMMON ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025
IN/AND
CRIMINAL PETITION No.17215 of 2025

Date: 24.12.2025

Between :

Narala Chandra Shekar

....Petitioner/Accused

AND

The State of Telangana, rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad, and another

....Respondents

COMMON ORDER:

This Criminal Petition has been filed by the petitioner/accused seeking to quash the proceedings in C.C. No.3993 of 2021 on the file of the XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad.

2. Heard Ms.S.Rama Subhadra, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. K.Raja Mohan Babu, learned counsel for respondent No.2, and Mr.Jithender Rao Veeramalla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that during the pendency of the present Criminal Petition, at the instance of the well

wishers, relatives and elders, the parties have settled the disputes pending between them and entered into compromise and filed Joint Compromise Memo. Accordingly, the parties have filed I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025 seeking permission to compound the offences and to record the compromise respectively.

4. This Court *vide* order, dated 19.12.2025, directed the parties i.e., the petitioner and respondent No.2, to appear before the High Court Legal Services Committee, Hyderabad, on or before 23.12.2025. In compliance of the said orders, the Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee, has submitted report, dated 22.12.2025, stating that the petitioner and respondent No.2 were duly identified with copies of their Aadhar Cards. It is further stated that the parties have willfully and without any coercion entered into compromise.

5. In view of the said report and also in view of the compromise entered between the petitioner and respondent No.2 as well as the submissions made by the respective parties, I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025 are allowed. Consequently, the proceedings in C.C. No.3993 of 2021 on the file of the XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad, are hereby quashed against the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed, subject to payment of costs of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees five thousand only) to the High Court Legal Services Committee, Hyderabad, and Rs.5,000/- (Rupees five

thousand only) to the Telangana High Court Advocates' Association, Hyderabad, by the petitioner, on or before 09.01.2026.

Miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

SD/-C.DEEPIKA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The XII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, WPS, DD Police Station, Hyderabad City.
3. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One CC to Ms S.RAMA SUBHADRA Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to SRI K.RAJA MOHAN BABU, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CD Copies

A copy of Joint Memo of compromise enclosed herewith

Note: Total Amount of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) has been paid by Ms S.Rama Subhadra, Counsel for the Petitioner as directed by the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 24.12.2025 as Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) to the "High Court Legal Services Committee, High Court for the State of Telangana, High Court Buildings Hyderabad. and Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) to the Telangana High Court Advocates Association, Hyderabad and filed Memo as proof of compliance USR No.151059 of 2025.


NVB/PSL

HIGH COURT

DATED:24/12/2025

COMMON ORDER

I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025
In/And
CRLP.No.17215 of 2025



ALLOWING THE CRIMINAL PETITION AND I.A.Nos.3 AND 4 OF 2025

08/01/26
D.K

MEMORANDUM OF CRIMINAL MISC. PETITION
IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

Crl.P.No. /7215 of 2025

Against

(On the file of .P.S., WPS.DD, Offences: 498-A IPC r/w.4&6 D.P.Act)

Between:

Narala ChandraShekar,
S/o Late N.Satyanarayana,
Aged about 47 Years,
Occ:Electrical Business,
R/oH.No2-1-549/1,Nallakunta,
Vidyanagar,Hyderabad-44

.....Petitioners/Accused

And

1)The State of Telangana, Rep.
by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana
At Hyderabad

2)NaralaHimaBinduW/oNarala Chandra Shekar,
D/o Late A.Dayanand,Aged about 35 Years,
H.No:12-1-490/40/A/2,Suraj garden Function Hall,
TappachaputraRoad, AsifNagar, Hyderabad.

.....Respondent

...Respondent/ Defacto Complainant

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO FILED BY THE PARTIES

1) The petitioners are Accused in C.C.No.3993/2021 on the file of Honble XIII Addl Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad.

2) The petitioners/accused and 2nd respondent herein have settled their disputes and compromised in the matter and the defacto complainant has agreed to withdraw criminal case filed against the petitioners/accused herein in the best interest of the parties. The 2nd respondent submits that after filing of the above criminal case against the petitioners/Accused, with the intervention of elders and well-wishers, she does not want to pursue the matter and intends to withdraw the case filed by her against the petitioners/accused.

N.C. Shekar N. Hima Bindu

3) The 2nd respondent/defacto complainant submits that as the parties agreed that the criminal case pending against the petitioners/accused shall be withdrawn by the 2nd respondent/ defacto complainant and they are bound by the terms and conditions of this compromise.

Hence, the parties herein prays that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to quash the criminal proceedings in C.C.No.3993/2021 on the file of Honble XIII Addl Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad. and to pass such other and order or orders as deem fit and proper, in the interest of justice.

N.C. Bhekad

Petitioner /Accused

Counsel for the Petitioners/Accused

N. Himabindu

2nd Respondent/ Complainant

Counsel for the 2nd respondent /Complainant