

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16760 of 2025

DATE: 30.12.2025

BETWEEN:

Vadethay Ravi Bhargava Naik

.....petitioner/accused

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana
at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, who is arrayed as accused in Crime No.427 of 2025 before the Chaderghat Police

Station, Hyderabad, registered for the offences punishable under Section 69 of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that a complaint dated 04.12.2025 lodged by the de-facto complainant alleging that she was in a relationship with the petitioner since 2018 on a promise of marriage and that the petitioner had sexual relations with her on several occasions and later refused to marry her. She further alleged that she had financially assisted the petitioner to the tune of Rs.4,00,000/- for establishment of a gym and that the petitioner was now intending to marry another woman.

3. Heard Sri Dileep Kumar Bommena, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the registration of the FIR was illegal and an abuse of process of law, as the relationship between the parties was consensual and continued for several years between two consenting

adults. He further submitted that the allegations did not attract the ingredients of Section 69 BNS and that the complaint was filed with mala fide intention to harass and pressurize the petitioner. He contended that the petitioner was a law-abiding citizen with no criminal antecedents and that the alleged delay in lodging the complaint itself showed falsity and that custodial interrogation was unnecessary as the evidence was documentary in nature. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are serious in nature. Further, the investigation was not yet completed. Therefore, at this stage, granting of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner does not arise. Hence, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. Having considered the submissions made by both sides and upon perusal of the material available on record, it *prima facie* appears that the allegation against the petitioner is that he developed a relationship with the de-facto complainant

since 2018 on a promise of marriage and thereafter declined to marry her. Taking into account the nature of the allegations, the long-standing relationship between the parties, and the fact that custodial interrogation does not appear to be imperative at this stage, this Court deems it fit to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Chaderghat Police Station, Hyderabad, within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release him on bail on executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/-, with two sureties, for the like sum each.
- ii. The petitioner shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.

- iii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Monday between 09:00 a.m, and 05:00 p.m., for a period of eight (8) weeks or till the filing of the charge sheet, whichever is earlier and thereafter, as and when required.
- iv. The petitioner shall not contact, threaten, influence, or attempt to influence the de-facto complainant or any prosecution witness, directly or indirectly, in any manner.
- v. The petitioner shall not leave the limits of Hyderabad without prior permission of the Investigating Officer.
- vi. The petitioner shall furnish his mobile number and residential address to the Investigating Officer and shall keep the same active and updated at all times.
- vii. The petitioner shall not commit any offence of like nature during the pendency of the case.

viii. In the event of violation of any of the above conditions, it shall be open to the Investigating Officer/Prosecution to seek cancellation of bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 30.12.2025
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16760 of 2025

Date: 30.12.2025

SAI